

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,844	12/02/2003	W. Paul Willes	23839-11591	3223
758 FENWICK & V	7590 04/16/2007 WEST LLP	1	EXAMINER	
SILICON VAL			BHATIA, AJAY M	
801 CALIFORI MOUNTAIN V	/IEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Amelia dia mala	Analizanta			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer:	10/725,844	WILLES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-147 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-38,75-77,79-113,1  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-147 are subject to restriction and/or	<u>15-144,146 and 147</u> is/are withdr	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	₽ <b>Г</b> .				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	- 4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

It appears that applicant had great difficulty in understanding the previous restriction requirement because the examiner used PCT rules. Therefore examiner has provided a new restriction requirement. Applicant is required to elect on species and generic claims are automatically elected. Should the generic claims become allowable withdrawn claim may late be possible to be rejoined. Applicant is required to elect a group, should applicant not elect a group or elect a group the examiner has not defined the response will be held non-responsive.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Please note that the summary of invention identified approximately 30 groups, but examiner combine more of the logical groups together.

Group I, claim(s) 2, 3, 40, 41, 79, 80, 115, 116, 132 are drawn to compression parameter selected from the based on video MPEG1, MPEG2, etc... classified in class 348, subclass 14.13.

Group II, claim(s) 4, 42, 81, 117, are drawn to network condition are RF interference, noise information classified in class 455, subclass 135.

Art Unit: 2145

- Group III, claim(s) 5, 43, 58, 82, 100, 118, are drawn to data decompression module, classified in class 375, subclass 240.
- Group IV, claim(s) 6, 44, 83, 119, are drawn to data source is connect to data interface, classified in class 709, subclass 238.
- Group V, claim(s) 7, 45, 84, 120, are drawn to PAL, NTSC S-video interface, classified in class 380, subclass 43.
- Group VI, claim(s) 8, 46, 85, 121, are drawn to brightness, contrast, hue, classified in class 380, subclass 588.
- Group VII, claim(s) 9, 10, 47, 48, 86, 87, 122, 123, are drawn to motion detection, classified in class 380, subclass 208.1.
- Group VIII, claim(s) 11, 12, 13, 49, 50, 51, 88, 89, 90, 124, 125, 126, and 18, 19, 95, 96, are drawn to master/network node comprises a mass storage device, classified in class 711, subclass 100.
- Group IX, claim(s) 14, 15, 52, 53, 91, 92, 127, 128, 20, 21, 56, 57, 97, 98, 129, are drawn to encrypting and decrypting data, classified in class 380, subclass 255.

Art Unit: 2145

- Group X, claim(s) 16, 54, 93, 130 and 22, 23, 99, are drawn to master/network node that comprises a web server, classified in class 709, subclass 218.
- Group XI, claim(s) 17, 55, 94, 131, are drawn to network node is a remote address client, classified in class 709, subclass 217.
- Group XII, claim(s) 24, 25, 26, 59, 60, 61, 101, 102, 103, 133, 134, 135, are drawn to external network connect to a master/network node, classified in class 709, subclass 219.
- Group XIII, claim(s) 27, 62, 104, 136, are drawn to communication over a network including an acoustic network, classified in class 370, subclass 276.
- Group XIV, claim(s) 28, 63, 105, are drawn to communication using protocols ASF, FTP, NTP, DNS, classified in class 709, subclass 230.
- Group XV, claim(s) 29, 64, 106, are drawn to timestamp and watermark, classified in class 713, subclass 176.
- Group XVI, claim(s) 30, 65, 107, 137, are drawn to master/network node of type personal computer, telephone, e-mail system, classified in class 709, subclass 207.

- Group XVII, claim(s) 31, 32, 66, 67, 108, 109, 138, 139, are drawn to change in data stream, classified in class 709, subclass 231.
- Group XVIII, claim(s) 33, 68, 110, 140, are drawn to temperature sensor, classified in class 374, subclass 11.
- Group XIX, claim(s) 34, 69, 111, 141, are drawn to constant network load, classified in class 370, subclass 395.6.
- Group XX, claim(s) 35, 36, 70, 71, 112, 113, 142, 143, are drawn to constant media stream rate, classified in class 709, subclass 231.
- Group XXI, claim(s) 38, 73 and 37, 72 are drawn to master/network node with software application, classified in class 717, subclass 100.
- Group XII, claim(s) 75, 145, are drawn to authentication information, classified in class 380, subclass 229.
- Group XXIII, claim(s) 76, 146, are drawn to storing transaction information, classified in class 707, subclass 1.

Art Unit: 2145

Group XXIV, claim(s) 77, 147, are drawn to subscription service, classified in class 206, subclass 207.

Claims 1, 39, 74, 78, 114, and 145 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: see groups defined above. The species are independent or distinct because, in the summary of the invention applicant defines multiple embodiments of separate inventions. Additionally in the specification further defines these embodiments as separate modules, hence they are separate inventions (Specification pg 14). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Brenda M. Simon on February 15, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 2145

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajay M. Bhatia whose telephone number is (571)-272-3906. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2145

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason Cardone

Supervisor Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2145